Chemoselective Synthesis of Trifluoromethylated γ -Butenolide Derivatives via Phosphine-Promoted Tandem Reaction of Allylic Carbonates and Trifluoromethyl Ketones

Hua Xiao,*,† Hong-yu Duan,† Jun Ye,† Ri-sheng Yao,*,† Juan Ma,† Zhe-zhe Yuan,† and Gang Zhao*,‡

[†]School of [Med](#page-3-0)ical Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, 1[93](#page-3-0) Tunxi Road, Hefei 230009, China

‡ Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry of Natural Substances, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

S Supporting Information

sequence under phosphine catalysis is unprecedented.

The *γ*-butyrolactone is a ubiquitous structural component
present in a plethora of naturally occurring compounds
 $\left(\frac{10\%}{6}\right)^{6}$ of all natural products) including the famous micro $({\sim}10\%$ of all natural products),¹ including the famous micronutrient ascorbic acid 1b and plant growth regulator karrikin.^{1c} The fused bicyclic γ-butyrolacto[n](#page-3-0)e also occurs as characteristic skeletal core in some [bio](#page-3-0)interesting natural products² (Figure [1\),](#page-3-0)

Figure 1. Representative natural compounds with fused bicyclic γbutyrolactone.

such as gomadalactone A, the contact sex pheromone component of white-spotted longicorn beetles;^{2b} holothurin A, the triterpene glycoside occurring as secondary metabolites in sea cucumbers;^{2c} and ginkgolides A and B , the [med](#page-3-0)icinally useful trilactones isolated from the Ginkgo tree leaves.^{2d} There have been a gro[win](#page-3-0)g number of reports on the synthetic protocols for construction of the γ -butyrolactone structure.³ F[urt](#page-3-0)her, trifluoromethylated analogs of bioactive molecules frequently exhibit unique physical, chemical, and physiological properties for broad applications in various fields including chemical biology and drug discovery.⁴ However, efficient and step-economical approaches to trifluoromethylated analogs of γ -butenolides and fused [4.4] oxobicycl[e](#page-3-0) structures from readily accessible reagents and starting materials remain very limited.

Recently, nucleophilic phosphine organocatalysis has evolved into a powerful strategy to access various synthetically valuable small-ring carbon- and heterocycles.^{5,6} Besides allenes and alkynes, MBH (Morita−Baylis−Hillman) adducts have also become common coupling partners [in](#page-3-0) this system since the pioneering work of Lu in $2003⁷$ The zwitterion generated from the nucleophilic addition of a phosphine to an MBH adduct shows diverse reactivities in a [ra](#page-3-0)nge of reactions, with α - or γ selectivity being a particularly challenging issue. For aromatic aldehyde-derived MBH carbonates (γ-substituted), the preference for α - or γ -attack often depends on the nature of the electrophilic coupling partner employed.⁸ As the simplest MBH carbonate, the formaldehyde-derived MBH carbonates (γnonsubstituted) usually favor γ-attack du[e](#page-3-0) to steric encumbrance from the adjacent phosphonium group in the α -attack, and thus these are among the most studied MBH carbonates with various coupling partners.⁹ In addition, this type of MBH carbonates are also highly reactive for the development of a tandem process.^{8a,10} Despite these ad[v](#page-3-0)ances, simple aldehydes and ketones have

Received: September 13, 2014 Published: October 8, 2014

seldom been employed in phosphine-catalyzed tandem annulations, which may be ascribed to the high propensity of their carbonyl group to undergo direct Wittig olefination. ^{8b,c} We disclose herein a novel tandem reaction between formaldehydederived MBH carbonates and aryl trifluoromethyl ket[one](#page-3-0)s.¹¹ With this method, two sets of bistrifluoromethylated vinyl γ butenolide derivatives bearing mono- or bicyclic skeletons ha[ve](#page-3-0) been synthesized with good chemoselectivity.

Initially, when a solution of equimolar amounts of phenyl trifluoromethyl ketone 1a, allylic carbonate $2a$, and PPh₃ in $CH₂Cl₂$ was stirred for 6 h at rt, three identifiable products 3a (E/ $Z = 6:1$, inseparable mixture), (E) -4a, and 5a were isolated with poor chemoselectivity (Scheme 1). The structures of 3a and (E) -

Scheme 1. Optimization of Substrate Ratio for Chemoselective Synthesis of Lactone 3a

4a were unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.¹² As expected, all three compounds resulted from γ addition of the allylic phosphonium ylide generated in situ from $2a$ and [P](#page-3-0)P h_3 to the ketone carbonyl, and no direct Wittig olefination product was detected. Conceivably, the formation of γ-butenolide 3a could be easily explained by a tandem lactonizaton¹³/Wittig¹⁴ sequence, and since $3a$ is electrondeficient it can further undergo $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition with another mo[lec](#page-3-0)ule of $2a$ to provide bicyclic γ -butenolide(E)-4a as a single diastereomer.¹⁵ The dihydrofuran 5a was produced by direct P-catalyzed $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition of 2a and 1a, which was similar to the reactio[n](#page-3-0) of nonsubstituted allenoates with CF_3 ketone.^{6f} Increasing the amount of the ketone 1a was found to improve the chemoselectivity of the reaction, and the use of 4 equiv [of](#page-3-0) 1a led to an 82% isolated yield of $γ$ -butenolide 3a. However, varying other reaction conditions such as solvent, temperature, and phosphine promoters with different electronic properties all failed to improve the yield of 3a further.¹⁶

Having identified the optimal conditions for the chemoselective formation of γ -butenolide 3a, t[he](#page-3-0) scope of the tandem vinylogous addition/lactonization/Wittig reaction sequence was examined with different aryl trifluoromethyl ketones (Scheme 2). In general, a range of vinyl γ -butenolides containing a CF₃substituted quaternary stereogenic center were conveniently prepared in moderate-to-good yields and E/Z selectivities. The electronic nature of the substituents on the aryl groups of ketone 1 had a dramatic influence on both the yield and E/Z selectivity of the reaction: ketones with electron-withdrawing and -neutral substituents usually gave higher chemical yields but moderate $E/$ Z selectivity, while those with electron-donating substituents provided modest yields but good E/Z selectivity.¹⁷ Notably, the heteroaryl substrate 1k was also tolerated in the tandem reaction system with similar reactivity to substrates [wi](#page-3-0)th electrondonating substituents. However, the aliphatic ketone, α, α, α trifluoroacetone, hardly reacted under the typical reaction conditions.

Next, we set out to optimize the reaction conditions for the chemoselective synthesis of the bicyclic lactone products 4. After

Scheme 2. Tandem Vinylogous Addition/Lactonization/ Wittig Reactions of Aryl Trifluoromethyl Ketones 1 with Allylic Carbonate 2^a

a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out with 1 (0.2 mmol) and 2 (0.05 mmol) in the presence of $PPh₃(0.05 mmol)$ in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) for 5–8 h at rt; isolated yields of 3 as inseparable $E/$ Z mixture; E/Z ratios were determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. b The E and Z isomers of 3c could be separated by preparative TLC.

some experimentation, we developed a one-pot protocol involving sequential vinylogous addition/lactonization/Wittig/ cycloaddition for this: the reaction was first conducted with a ketone/carbonate ratio of 2:1 in the presence of 100 mol % of PPh₃ for 6 h to produce lactone 3a as the predominant product. Then two more equivalents of the MBH adduct carbonate 2a and PBu₃ (100 mol $\frac{6}{9}$)¹⁸ were added to the reaction mixture to furnish bicyclic γ-butenolide (E)-4a in 70% isolated yield, together with (Z) -4a [in](#page-3-0) 8% yield¹⁹ and the dihydrofuran 5a in 5% yield (Scheme 3). It is worth mentioning that up to five new chemical bonds including four [ne](#page-3-0)w C−C bonds, two adjacent quaternary cen[te](#page-2-0)rs, and one tertiary stereogenic center were created under very mild conditions in this one-pot four-step transformation. A subsequent substrate scope study proved that the reaction was general only for aryl trifluoromethylketones (Scheme 3). Regardless of the electronic nature of the substituents on the aryl group of the ketone, the desired fused[4.4][bi](#page-2-0)cyclic γ-butenolides 4 were obtained in acceptable yields and with generally excellent diastereoselectivities (dr> 15:1). In most cases, high E/Z selectivity favoring the formation of (E)-4a and good chemoselectivity were also observed. One exception is the heteroaromatic 2-thienyl trifluoromethyl ketone 1k, in which exclusive (E) -selectivity was observed, albeit with the formation of a considerable amount of compound 5k. In addition, three other MBH adducts were also investigated as the coupling partner instead of 2a (in second batch). It was found that either increasing the steric hindrance around the ester group or replacing it with a ketone group would lead to inferior results.

To gain insight into the mechanism of the tandem reaction, two control experiments were carried out (Scheme 4). Notably, the use of aryl trifluoromethyl ketones 1 in this reaction is privileged. In this regard, they not only enable rea[dy](#page-2-0) access to useful CF_3 -containing compounds but also are crucial for good

Scheme 3. Tandem Vinylogous Addition/Lactonization/ Wittig/Cycloaddition Reactions of Aryl CF_3 Ketones 1 with Allylic Carbonate 2^a

a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out with 1 (0.1 mmol) and $2a$ (0.05 mmol) in the presence of PPh₃ (0.05 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) for 5–8 h at rt, and then 2 (0.1 mmol) and PBu₃ (0.1 mmol) were added; the resultant mixture was stirred for another 5−8 h; yields of isolated (E)-4 provided; ratios were calculated based on the isolated yields of each compound. \overline{b} Lactone 3h was also isolated in 5% yield $(E/Z = 1.4:1)$. ^c Lactone 3i was also isolated in 5% yield $(E/Z = 1:2)$. ^d The dr of (E) -4m was 9/1; other bicycles (E) -4 were isolated generally with >15/1 dr.

Scheme 4. Two Control Experiments

chemoselectivity. When p-bromophenylaldehyde 6a was used in lieu of 1a under similar reaction conditions, only product 7a derived from direct Wittig olefination was isolated as the sole product albeit with a poor yield (Scheme 4, eq 1).²⁰ The bulky $CF₃$ group in 1 might be responsible for the absence of this type of product in our system for it might favor the γ-a[ddi](#page-3-0)tion of the zwitterion A (see Scheme 5) to minimize steric repulsion from the phosphonium group.^{9b} Moreover, the control experiment between isolated γ-butenolide 3a and MBH carbonate 2a proceeded swiftly to prod[uce](#page-3-0) $4a$ in high yield with E/Z selectivity similar to that observed in the one-pot procedure, thus verifying the intermediacy of 3a in the formation of 4a (Scheme 4, eq 2). Further treatment of 4a with MBH carbonate under the otherwise identical conditions proved fruitless.

Scheme 5. A Plausible Reaction Mechanism

Based on the above observations and previous reports, a plausible reaction mechanism is outlined as shown in Scheme 5. Initially, the MBH carbonate 2a is converted to γ-nonsubstituted allylic phosphorus ylide A via a well-defined S_N^2 addition/ deprotonation process with the nucleophilic phosphine. The zwitterion A then undergoes exclusive γ-addition to the ketone 1 to produce betaine B. There are two competitive pathways for the ensuing annulation of B. The first one is 5-exo-trig lactonization to provide intermediate C. The other one is a 5 endo-trig process leading to the formation of dihydrofuran 5a.The first one leading to the product 3a is favored according to Baldwin's rules. Intermediate C may be deprotonated by the in situ generated methoxide anion to form zwitterion D1/D2. Subsequently, $D2$ can undergo Wittig olefination with the $CF₃$ ketone 1a to give product 3a. We presume that the irreversibility of the Wittig olefination, which might be more facilitated by the presence of a large excess of ketone 1, should also be one of the key factors determining the chemoselectivity. 21 Finally, the vinyl γ-butenolide 3a can function as an electrophilic alkene partner to undergo a traditional phosphine catalyzed $[3 + 2]$ $[3 + 2]$ $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition with another molecule of MBH carbonate 2a. The high diastereoselectivity observed in the cycloaddition step can be roughly explained by the postulated model: to fulfill the donation of an oxygen lone pair to the adjacent C−CF₃ σ^* orbital, the strongly electronegative $CF₃$ group takes a pseudoaxial orientation, 2^2 and then the zwitterion may approach the conjugate α , β -unsaturated double bond of 3a preferentially from the fa[ce](#page-3-0) opposite to the axial CF_3 group.

In summary, we have developed a novel chemoselective phosphine-mediated tandem reaction between nonsubstituted MBH carbonates and aryl trifluoromethyl ketones, which provides facile access to bistrifluoromethylated vinyl γbutenolides and bicyclic γ -butenolides with three contiguously adjacent stereogenic centers under mild reaction conditions. With simple manipulations of the reaction conditions, such as altering the ratios of the two starting materials, adding the MBH carbonate in batches together with an additional phosphine mediator, the two types of products could be obtained in moderate-to-good yield, with high chemo- and stereoselectivity. The new chemistry affords a step-economical approach to CF_3 substituted scaffolds with structural complexity from readily available starting materials and adds to the arsenal of nucleophilic organophosphine catalysis.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, and X-ray structures of 3a and (E) -4a are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: xiaohua@hfut.edu.cn.

- *E-mail: yaors@163.com.
- *E-mail: zhaog@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 21302034), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Gil, S.; Parra, M.; Rodriguez, P.; Segura, J. Mini-Rev. Org. Chem. 2009, 6, 345. (b) Tripathi, R. P.; Singh, B.; Bisht, S. S.; Pnadey, J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 99. (c) Flematti, G. R.; Ghisalberti, E. L.; Dixon, K. W.; Trengove, R. D. Science 2004, 305, 977.

(2) (a) Lo, H.-C.; Entwistle, R.; Guo, C.-J.; Ahuja, M.; Szewczyk, E.; Hung, J.-H.; Chiang, Y.-M.; Oakley, B. R.; Wang, C. C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4709. (b) Yasui, H. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 2009, 44, 183. (c) Anders, A. J.; Nasreen, B.; Stine, B. V.; Kolja, M. K.; Klaus, G.; Sergei, V. D.; Hideki, I.; Koji, N.; Uffe, K.; Kristian, S. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 1610. (d) Zhang, S.-Y.; Yi, Y.-H.; Tang, H.-F. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1492.

(3) (a) Kitson, R. R. A.; Millemaggi, A.; Taylor, J. K. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9426. (b) Leverett, C. A.; Purohit, V. C.; Johnson, A. G.; Davis, R. L.; Tantillo, D. J.; Romo, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13348. (c) McInturff, E. L.; Mowat, J.; Waldeck, A. R.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17230.

(4) (a) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. , 52, 8214. (b) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, , 4475. (c) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. , 111, 455.

(5) For reviews on phosphine-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Lu, X.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 535. (b) Methot, J. L.; Roush, W. R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1035. (c) Cowen, B. J.; Miller, S. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3102. (e) Fan, Y. C.; Kwon, O. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11588.

(6) For latest examples, see: (a) Li, E.; Jia, P.; Liang, L.; Huang, Y. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 600. (b) Zhang, X.-N.; Shi, M. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 507. (c) Tian, J.; He, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2058. (d) Jose, A.; Jayakrishnan, A. J.; Vedhanarayanan, B.; Menon, R. S.; Varughese, S.; Sureshc, E.; Nair, V. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 4616. (e) Gomez, C.; Gicquel, M.; Carry, J.-C.; Schio, L.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Marinetti, A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1488. (f) Wang, T.; Ye, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 5260.

(7) (a) Du, Y.; Lu, X.; Zhang, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1035. For latest reportsof P-triggered MBH derivative reactions, see: (b) Peng, J.; Huang, X.; Zheng, P.-F.; Chen, Y.-C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5534. (c) Zheng, J.; Huang, Y.; Li, Z. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5710. (d) Zhong, N.-J.; Wei, F.; Xuan, Q.-Q.; Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Chen, Y.-J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11071. (e) Liu, Y.-L.; Wang, X.; Zhao, Y.-L.; Zhu, F.; Zeng, X.-P.; Chen, L.; Wang, C.-H.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13735. (f) Zhou, R.; Wang, J.; Duan, C.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6134.

(8) (a) Zhou, R.; Wang, J.; Song, H.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 580. (b) Wang, T.; Shen, L.-T.; Ye, S. Synthesis 2011, 3359. (c) Zhou, R.; Wang, C.; Song, H.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 976. (d) Zheng, S.; Lu, X. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3978. (e) Zheng, S.; Lu, X. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4481. (9) (a) Chen, Z.; Zhang, J. Chem.—Asian J. 2010, 5, 1542. (b) Feng, J.; Lu, X.; Kong, A.; Han, X. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 6035. (c) Xie, P.; Huang, Y.; Chen, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7362.

(10) For examples of P-catalyzed multicomponent tandem annulations with allenoate or activated alkyne, see: (a) Guan, X.-Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5024. (b) Yang, L.-J.; Wang, S.; Nie, J.; Li, S.; Ma, J.- A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5214. (c) Liu, H.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, L.; Tong, X. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 312.

(11) For our previous work on phosphine catalysis, see: (a) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Zheng, C.-W.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Liu, W.; Zhang, J.-K.; Zhao, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4467. (b) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Wang, H.- F.; Wang, X.-W.; Cao, D.-D.; Liu, W.; Lu, Y.-P.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Zhao, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 10562. (c) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Cao, D.; Wang, H.; Chen, J.; Zhao, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3195. (d) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Yao, R.-S.; Zhao, G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9781.

(12) CCDC 1019156 $(3a)$ and 1019155 $((E)-4a)$ contain the crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(13) For related reactions involving the lactonization step, see: (a) Xu, Z.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5031. (b) Nozaki, K.; Sato, N.; Ikeda, K.; Takaya, H. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4516.

(14) For related reactions involving the Wittig olefination step, see: (a) Hilt, G.; Hengst, C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7337. (b) McDougal, N. T.; Schaus, S. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3117.

(15) For allylic alkylation of butenolides with MBH carbonates, see: Cui, H.-L.; Huang, J.-R.; Lei, J.; Wang, Z.-F.; Chen, S.; Wu, L.; Chen, Y.- C. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 720.

(16) The use of Bu_3P improves the product E/Z ratio in the Wittig olefination with arylaldehyde-derived MBH carbonates and CF_3 ketone; see ref 8b. However, this did not work in our case.

(17) For the substituent effect of the carbonyl compound on the E/Z selectivity of Wittig olefination, see: (a) Stępień, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9512. (b) Takeuchi, K.; Paschal, J. W.; Loncharich, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 156.

(18) The use of 0.5 equiv of Bu_3P in the second batch would cause a visible drop in the yield of 4a (57% yield), though a stoichiometric amount of phosphine was unnecessary for $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & + & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition theoretically.

(19) Noteworthy was that the yield of (Z) -4a was not consistent with the aforementioned E/Z ratio in 3a, which would be partly attributed to the different reactivity of E and Z isomers of 3 in $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition. The ¹H NMR analysis of some recovered 3 (3h and 3i) samples indicated a dramatically changed E/Z ratio.

(20) For an analogous dimerization, see: Deng, H.-P.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Res. Chem. Intermed. 2013, 39, 5.

(21) The steric hindrance of the ester groups in the MBH carbonates 2 also showed an influence on the chemoselectivity: when the methyl group of MBH carbonate was replaced with a bulky group such as tertbutyl, the lactonization step was disturbed and the formation of 5-endotrig product 5 increased; see Supporting Information for details.

(22) For the pseudoaxial orientation of the CF_3 group in the crystal structure of (E) -4a, see Supporting Information. We thank one of our reviewers for proposing this rationale.